| Advisory Action | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie | ŧ |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/830,043 | KOO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Leonid Shapiro | 2629 | |

| | Leonid Shapiro | 2629 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 20(-) and the appropriate | automolom foo |
| Extensions to fill mile risk be doubted united 37 CFR.1.304g.). If used have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ite extension fee action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belove) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying th | e issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 6.9-13.18 and 21-25. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5.7.8.14-17.19.20.26 and 27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but to page 9, last full paragraph of Remark, Applicate teach or suggest "sensing a stroke of a virtual button", and thus cannot teach or suggest "clickin However, on the same page, 3" paragraph of Remark, A Fukumoto teaches stroking or cliking a button or key of a 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), it | nt's stated in relation to independer s recited in claim 14. In fact, Fukum g a button or key of a virtual keybo opplicant's admitted that a virtial ke a virtual keyboard (fig. 1, col. 1, line | nt claim 14, that Fukur noto does not even me ard" as asserted by the yboard is realized by f | noto does not ention or depict e Examiner. |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090416

Application No.